Muscle mass decline, arterial stiffness, white matter hyperintensity, and cognitive impairment: Japan Shimanami Health Promoting Program study
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Abstract

Background There is a close association between frailty and cognitive impairment. However, the underlying contribution of sarcopenia to the development of cognitive impairment is unclear. We investigated the possible association between muscle mass decline and cognitive impairment in a cross-sectional study of 1518 subjects aged 55 years or above. We also evaluated arterial stiffness and white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) as possible underlying mechanisms for this association.

Methods Two sarcopenic indices were measured: thigh muscle cross-sectional area (CSA; calculated by computed tomography) and skeletal muscle mass (bioelectric impedance). Muscle mass decline was defined as either the bottom 10% or 20% of participants for each sex. Cognitive function was assessed using the Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment Scale, and brachial–ankle pulse wave velocity was measured as an index of arterial stiffness.

Results Both sarcopenic indices were modestly but significantly associated with brachial–ankle pulse wave velocity in male and female subjects. The presence of WMHs was significantly associated with low thigh muscle CSA in men and with low skeletal muscle mass in women. The Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment Scale score was modestly but significantly and positively associated with thigh muscle CSA in men and skeletal muscle mass in women. Muscle mass decline in the bottom 10% of participants on both sarcopenic indices was significantly and independently related to cognitive impairment in women.

Conclusions Lower sarcopenic indices are significantly related to lower cognitive scores. Arterial stiffness and WMHs could account, at least in part, for this association.
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Introduction

Cognitive function in elderly people is highly related to physical function.1 Recently, several studies have reported an association between lower gait speed and cognitive decline in mild cognitive impairment,2 as well as dementia.3,4 Verghese et al.5 proposed motoric cognitive risk syndrome, characterized by a slow gait speed and cognitive complaints, as a newly described pre-dementia syndrome.

Frailty is a condition that is characterized by progression of physiological decline in elderly people and is prodromal for dependence, institutionalization, and even death.1 Slow gait speed and lower hand grip strength are among the five measures of frailty that were proposed by Fried et al.6 and are also criteria for the diagnosis of sarcopenia.7 Sarcopenia is an age-related decline in muscle mass and strength and a major component of frailty.

We and others have shown that sarcopenia is associated with increased arterial stiffness.8,9 Several studies, including meta-analyses, have reported close associations between arterial stiffness and cognitive impairment.10–13 Although the precise mechanisms have not been determined, arterial
stiffness has been associated with brain white matter hyperintensities (WMHs), a well-demonstrated risk factor for cognitive decline. These findings suggest that the effect of sarcopenia on cognitive impairment may be mediated by arterial stiffness and WMHs. However, results from studies that have evaluated the association between sarcopenia and cognitive impairment in a general population are inconclusive. Furthermore, no studies have evaluated the underling mechanisms linking sarcopenia and cognitive impairment.

We performed a cross-sectional study looking for possible associations between two sarcopenic indices and cognitive impairment, taking into account arterial stiffness and WMHs as possible pathological mechanisms in participants of the Japan Shimanami Health Promoting Program study.

**Methods**

**Study subjects**

The study subjects were 1518 apparently healthy individuals aged 55 years or above who were consecutive participants in the medical checkup programme at Ehime University Hospital Anti-aging Center from February 2006 to December 2015. This medical check-up is provided to general residents of Ehime Prefecture, Japan, and is specifically designed to evaluate ageing-related disorders, including atherosclerosis, cardiovascular disease, physical function, and mild cognitive impairment. Of the 1691 consecutive patients who were initially approached, 1518 (mean age, 67.9 ± 6.8 years) gave written consent for all procedures and had no history of symptomatic cardiovascular events, including peripheral arterial disease, stroke, coronary heart disease, and congestive heart failure. The present study was part of a series of the Japan Shimanami Health Promoting Program study, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ehime University Graduate School of Medicine.

**Measurement of sarcopenia-related indices**

**Thigh muscle cross-sectional area**

Thigh muscle cross-sectional area (CSA) was measured using computed tomography (CT) (LightSpeed VCT; GE Healthcare, Tokyo, Japan). Images were created at the mid-thigh, defined as the mid-point between the inguinal crease and the proximal pole of the patella. Muscle CSA (cm²) was computed using an attenuation range of 0–100 Hounsfield units, and this excluded intramuscular fat.

**Bioelectric impedance analysis**

The total skeletal muscle ratio was evaluated by the bioelectrical impedance method using a commercially available body composition analyser (body scan HBF-701; Omron Healthcare Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). The manufacturer’s built-in, preprogrammed algorithms were used to calculate the percentage of skeletal muscle. Skeletal muscle mass (in kg) was calculated as ‘body weight (kg) * skeletal muscle percentage’. Participants scoring in the bottom 20 and 10% on each sarcopenic index were defined as having muscle mass decline, stratified separately by sex.

**Magnetic resonance imaging and assessment of white matter hyperintensities**

The presence of WMHs, including periventricular hyperintensity (PVH), and deep subcortical white matter hyperintensity (DSWMH), was evaluated by brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with a 3-tesla scanner (Signa Excite 3.0T; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI). Details of brain MRI have been described elsewhere. Hyperintensities depicted on T2-weighted FLAIR images in contact with the ventricular wall and located in the subcortical region were defined as PVH and DSWMH, respectively. PVH was further classified into five grades in accordance with Japanese guidelines as follows: grade 0, absent or only a ‘rim’; grade 1, limited lesion-like ‘caps’; grade 2, irregular ‘halo’; grade 3, irregular margins and extension into the deep white matter; and grade 4, extension into the deep white matter and subcortical portion. DSWMH was also classified into five grades as follows: grade 0, absent; grade 1, ≤ 3 mm small foci and regular margins; grade 2, ≥ 3 mm large foci; grade 3, diffusely confluent; and grade 4, extensive changes in the white matter. The presence of WMHs was defined as PVH grade ≥ 2 and/or DSWMH grade ≥ 3. Images were analysed by two neurologists without clinical information on the subjects. Images were analysed using OsiriX software (http://www.osirix-viewer.com).

**Assessment of cognitive function**

Cognitive impairment was assessed using the Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment Scale (TDAS), which was specifically designed to rate cognitive dysfunction quickly and...
without the need for a special rater. This test battery consists of the following four tasks, which were performed in a fixed order: a three-word memory test for assessing immediate memory, a temporal orientation test, a threedimensional visual–spatial perception test, and a delayed recall test to assess short-term memory. The TDAS provides for a maximum score of 15 points, and a score of 12 or less was suggested as indicative of cognitive impairment in a previous study. Details of this rating system have been described elsewhere.

**Pulse wave velocity**

Pulse wave velocity (PWV) was measured using a volume-plethysmograph (PWV/ankle brachial index; Omron Healthcare Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). A detailed explanation of this device, as well as the validity and reproducibility of its measurements, has been provided elsewhere. Brachial-toankle PWV (baPWV) was calculated from the time interval between the wave fronts of the brachial and ankle waveforms (ΔTba) and the path length from the brachium to the ankle. The path length from the suprasternal notch to the brachium (Lb) or ankle (La) was obtained using the following formulae:

\[ L_b = 0.2195 \times \text{height} + 2.0734; \]
\[ L_a = 0.8129 \times \text{height} + 12.328. \]

The baPWV was then obtained using the following equation:

\[ \text{baPWV} = \frac{(L_a - L_b)}{\Delta T_{ba}}. \]

The intra-measurement reproducibility of baPWV in our laboratory was 2.1 ± 1.8%, and between measurements, the reproducibility was 2.2 ± 1.5%.

**Evaluation of risk factors**

Lifestyle, medical history, and prescribed drugs were evaluated by questionnaire. Anthropometric measurements were performed by a trained nurse. Venous blood was collected in the morning after ≥11 h fasting for measurement of serum lipid and plasma glucose concentrations. Blood pressure was measured with oscillometric device (HEM-9000AI; Omron Healthcare Co., Ltd) in a sitting position after at least 5 min of rest.

**Statistical analysis**

Because men and women have important physiological differences, all statistical analyses were performed separately for each sex. Differences in numeric variables were assessed with an analysis of variance, with Tukey for each sex. Differences in frequency variables were assessed by the \( \chi^2 \) test and logistic regression analyses. Covariate adjusted analysis was performed by multiple linear regression analyses and logistic regression analyses with possible independent parameters including age, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglyceride, fasting blood glucose, immune-reactive insulin, current smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, use of antihypertensive drugs, anti-dyslipidemic drugs, anti-diabetic medication, baPWV, and the presence of WMHs. Statistical analyses were conducted using commercially available statistical software (JMP version 10.0.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), with \( P < 0.05 \) considered statistically significant.

**Results**

Clinical characteristics of the study population divided by the TDAS score are shown in Table 1 and Table S1. Thigh muscle CSA was significantly lower in men with lower TDAS scores, and skeletal muscle mass was significantly and positively associated with TDAS score in both men and women. Brachial-ankle PWV was significantly associated with TDAS score in both men and women.

**Sarcopenic indices and pulse wave velocity**

In both men and women, baPWV was modestly but significantly and negatively associated with thigh muscle CSA (men: \( r = -0.24, \ P < 0.0001; \) women: \( r = -0.12, \ P = 0.0001 \)) and with skeletal muscle mass (men: \( r = -0.24, \ P < 0.0001; \) women: \( r = -0.23, \ P < 0.0001 \)). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 1, the relationships between sarcopenic indices and baPWV were not linear. The reduction in scores on sarcopenic indices associated with increases in baPWV reached a plateau at the second tertile in both men and women.

**Sarcopenic indices and white matter hyperintensities**

Figure 2 shows thigh muscle CSA and skeletal muscle mass in the three groups split into PVH and DSWMH grades. In both men and women, both sarcopenia indices were significantly and negatively associated with WMH grades, except for thigh muscle CSA and DSWMH grade in women. Odds ratios of sarcopenic indices for the presence of WMHs are shown in Table 2. Even after adjustment for possible confounding parameters (adjustment 1), low thigh muscle CSA was significantly associated with WMHs in men, while low skeletal muscle mass was significantly related to WMHs in women. Further adjustment for baPWV (adjustment 2) eliminated the significant association in men but not in women.
Table 1  Clinical characteristics of study participants split by TDAS score in men and women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TDAS score</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>13 or less</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>13 or less</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>67.2±6.9</td>
<td>70.0±6.9**</td>
<td>70.6±7.0**</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>65.5±6.3</td>
<td>68.6±6.4**</td>
<td>69.6±6.4**</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body height, cm</td>
<td>165.6±5.4</td>
<td>164.2±5.6*</td>
<td>163.4±5.3**</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>152.9±5.3</td>
<td>151.4±5.5**</td>
<td>150.6±4.9**</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, kg</td>
<td>65.3±8.8</td>
<td>64.8±8.5</td>
<td>63.5±9.2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>53.1±8.0</td>
<td>52.1±7.25</td>
<td>52.4±8.36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.8±2.9</td>
<td>24.0±2.8</td>
<td>23.8±3.1</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>22.7±3.3</td>
<td>22.7±2.9</td>
<td>23.1±3.3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral fat area, cm²</td>
<td>134.7±18.1</td>
<td>130.6±18.4*</td>
<td>127.2±16.7**</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>95.5±13.3</td>
<td>93.3±12.0</td>
<td>93.9±13.8</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal muscle mass, kg</td>
<td>18.7±2.8</td>
<td>18.1±2.7*</td>
<td>17.7±2.7**</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>12.3±1.9</td>
<td>11.9±1.9**</td>
<td>11.7±2.0**</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>135.8±18.2</td>
<td>140.5±18.9*</td>
<td>142.3±20.3**</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>132.3±18.7</td>
<td>135.4±19.5</td>
<td>134.3±19.9</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>77.8±11.2</td>
<td>78.9±11.6</td>
<td>78.9±12.2</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>75.5±10.6</td>
<td>74.3±10.9</td>
<td>75.6±10.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>63.9±10.7</td>
<td>64.7±10.8</td>
<td>66.3±9.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>66.7±9.2</td>
<td>67.8±10.0</td>
<td>69.3±10.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baPWV, cm/s</td>
<td>1616±300</td>
<td>1724±358**</td>
<td>1795±346**</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1546±301</td>
<td>1600±332*</td>
<td>1667±331**</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride, mg/dL</td>
<td>111.4±57.7</td>
<td>113.9±66.5</td>
<td>119.7±63.4</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>102.1±56.9</td>
<td>102.9±53.2</td>
<td>109.8±67.3</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>206.4±31.9</td>
<td>202.8±32.7</td>
<td>195.9±32.5*</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>225.2±35.9</td>
<td>223.8±37.3</td>
<td>223.8±30.3</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>59.5±15.7</td>
<td>60.8±17.0</td>
<td>57.4±15.9</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>70.8±17.4</td>
<td>69.9±16.6</td>
<td>68.1±18.3</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>106.9±17.0</td>
<td>109.4±21.0</td>
<td>112.2±29.7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>99.8±15.1</td>
<td>101.3±16.0</td>
<td>102.9±19.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRI, μU/mL</td>
<td>6.3±5.6</td>
<td>6.4±3.9</td>
<td>6.5±5.8</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>5.6±3.7</td>
<td>5.6±3.7</td>
<td>6.2±4.3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive drugs, n (%)</td>
<td>111 (36%)</td>
<td>76 (39)</td>
<td>45 (42)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>98 (25)</td>
<td>117 (32)</td>
<td>42 (38)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidyslipidemia drugs, n (%)</td>
<td>53 (17)</td>
<td>41 (21)</td>
<td>33 (31)**</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>111 (28)</td>
<td>113 (31)</td>
<td>42 (28)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidiabetic drugs, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (7)</td>
<td>19 (10)</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>19 (5)</td>
<td>14 (4)</td>
<td>10 (7)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current/past never smoker</td>
<td>48/172/89</td>
<td>18/128/47*</td>
<td>7/67/33*</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>5/24/364</td>
<td>4/16/348</td>
<td>4/9/135</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption, cups/week</td>
<td>6.2±9.0</td>
<td>5.3±7.0</td>
<td>5.6±8.4</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.7±2.9</td>
<td>0.5±2.4</td>
<td>0.5±2.2</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>69/165/65/10</td>
<td>43/111/33/6</td>
<td>35/42/26/4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>65/235/84/9</td>
<td>84/201/66/17</td>
<td>31/84/27/6</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD or number of participants. baPWV, brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity; BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; CSA, cross-sectional area; DSWMH, deep subcortical white matter hyperintensity; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IRI, immunoreactive insulin; PVH, periventricular hyperintensity; TDAS, Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment Scale. Physical activity: every day/sometimes/never often/never.

*a: n = 1505.
*P; men vs. women.
**P < 0.05,
***P < 0.01 vs. TDAS 15.
Sarcopenic indices and cognitive scores

Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment Scale scores (a continuous variable) showed a modest but significant positive association with thigh muscle CSA (men: $r = 0.16$, $P < 0.0001$; women: $r = 0.08$, $P = 0.016$), and skeletal muscle mass (men: $r = 0.15$, $P = 0.0002$; women: $r = 0.14$, $P < 0.0001$) (Figure 3).

Multiple regression analyses showed that thigh muscle CSA was significantly positively related to TDAS score in men independently of other possible confounding parameters (S2), while in women, skeletal muscle mass was significantly and independently associated with TDAS score (S3). Further adjustment with baPWV and WMHs eliminated the association between thigh muscle CSA and TDAS score in men, but not the association between skeletal muscle mass and TDAS score in women.

Muscle mass decline and cognitive impairment

Odds ratios of muscle mass decline for the presence of cognitive impairment are shown in Table 3. Muscle mass decline (defined as the bottom 10% of the studied population) was significantly associated with cognitive impairment in women, even after adjustment for confounding parameters including baPWV and WMHs. However, muscle mass decline in men was not associated with cognitive impairment.

Discussion

The present study showed that sarcopenic indices were significantly associated with arterial stiffness, brain WMHs, and cognitive test scores. We also observed that subjects with muscle mass decline, defined as the bottom 10% of the studied population, had cognitive impairment in the middle-aged to elderly female population. These findings indicate that sarcopenia, a component of frailty, plays an important role in cognitive decline in the general population.

Several cross-sectional and longitudinal studies have demonstrated a close association between frailty and cognitive impairment. Other possible frailty-related symptoms, such as orthostatic hypotension, are also associated with cognitive dysfunction and dementia. We previously showed that decreased one-leg standing time was significantly related to...
**Figure 2** White matter hyperintensity and sarcopenic indices. Two indices for sarcopenia are shown, split by periventricular hyperintensity (PVH) and deep subcortical white matter hyperintensity (DSWMH). The numbers in the columns indicate the number of subjects. Values are mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 vs. first tertile. †P < 0.05 vs. second tertile.

**Table 2** Odds ratios for the presence of white matter hyperintensities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters for sarcopenia</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh muscle CSA/1 SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No adjustment</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.52–0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.54–0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.55–1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal muscle mass/1 SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No adjustment</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.53–0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 1</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.60–1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.60–1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.

Odds ratios for the presence of white matter hyperintensities, stratified by increase in sarcopenic indices (by 1 SD).

Adjustment 1: adjusted for age, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, triglyceride levels, total cholesterol levels, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, glucose levels, immunoreactive insulin levels, use of antihypertensive drugs, antidyshlipidemic drugs, antidiabetic drugs, current smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity (1 + 2 + 3 + 4).

Adjustment 2: Further adjustment for brachial–ankle pulse wave velocity.

White matter hyperintensity was defined as periventricular hyperintensity grade ≥ 2 and/or deep subcortical white matter hyperintensity grade ≥ 3. One SD of thigh muscle CSA was 18.92 cm² for men and 13.49 cm² for women, and that of skeletal muscle mass was 3.14 kg for men and 2.02 kg for women.
**Figure 3** Scatter plots showing relationship between touch panel-type dementia assessment scale (TDAS) score and thigh muscle cross-sectional area (CSA) (top) and skeletal muscle mass (bottom) in men and women.

**Table 3** Odds ratios of sarcopenia for the presence of cognitive impairment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sarcopenia definition</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh muscle CSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom 20%</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.36-4.74</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.89-2.65</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 1</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.75-4.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.61-2.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 2</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.72-3.91</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.57-2.15</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Bottom 10%</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.77-3.95</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.55-5.24</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.30-2.32</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.21-5.33</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.28-2.18</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.18-5.43</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal muscle mass</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.07-3.81</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.24-3.52</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom 20%</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.67-3.23</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.87-3.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 1</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.81-2.90</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.81-2.90</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 2</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.96-4.60</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.57-5.29</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Bottom 10%</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.53-3.58</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.16-5.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment 1</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.54-3.69</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.09-5.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.

*Subjects with a muscle mass in the top 80% were used as controls.

Adjustment 1: adjusted for age, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, triglyceride levels, total cholesterol levels, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, glucose levels, immunoreactive insulin levels, use of antihypertensive drugs, antidyshlipidemic drugs, antidiabetic drugs, current smoking, alcohol intake, and physical activity.

Adjustment 2: further adjusted for brachial–ankle pulse wave velocity and the presence of white matter hyperintensity. White matter hyperintensity was defined as periventricular hyperintensity grade ≥2 and/or deep subcortical white matter hyperintensity grade ≥3.
cognitive dysfunction. Rockwood’s model of frailty even allows poor cognition to be included as one of the possible components of frailty, leading to the proposal of the condition known as cognitive frailty. However, specific factors of frailty associated with cognitive decline are not fully understood.

Sarcopenia, one of the main components of frailty, may underlay the connection between frailty and cognitive impairment. However, studies of the association between sarcopenia and dementia are inconclusive. In a large cohort of 7105 elderly women, body composition, low fat mass, and low fat-free soft mass were significantly associated with cognitive impairment after adjustment for multiple possible confounding variables. In the EIDOS cohort, cognitive impairment was significantly associated with slow walking speed and low hand grip strength, but sarcopenic parameters obtained from dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry were not related to cognitive impairment. Longitudinal findings are also not conclusive. In a 1 year follow up of 131 Japanese elderly subjects, sarcopenia was associated with a larger decline in MMSE scores. However, the longitudinal EPIDOS–Toulouse study reported that decreased muscle mass and walking speeds were not associated with cognitive decline in 181 elderly women. These findings suggest that differences in the methods used to evaluate sarcopenia, the definition of sarcopenia, and the studied population could underlie the inter-study inconsistency.

In the present study, we corroborated previous findings providing insight into the underlying mechanisms linking sarcopenia and cognitive decline. Arterial stiffness has been demonstrated as a risk factor for cognitive decline. We have demonstrated that muscle mass showed a significant but modest association with baPWV, which may explain the non-linear relationship between muscle mass decline and higher baPWV. Because arterial stiffness has also been identified as an underlying mechanism for brain small vessel disease, we further evaluated the possible association between sarcopenic indices and WMHs, which is also thought to be related to cognitive impairment.

Several studies, including meta-analyses, report that arterial stiffness is a risk factor for cognitive impairment. Recent observations in the Framingham cohort suggest that aortic stiffness is associated with white-matter injury and cognitive function in midlife, consistent with our hypotheses. In the present study, we observed that sarcopenic indices were also related to WMHs, even though the association varied between men and women, as well as between sarcopenic parameters. Thigh muscle CSA was significantly associated with WMHs in men, but co-variety for baPWV eliminated the significance of this association. However, in women, skeletal muscle mass was significantly associated with WMHs, and interestingly, this association persisted even after correction for baPWV. This finding suggests that other, as yet unknown mechanisms, may also link WMHs and sarcopenic indices in women.

We observed that sarcopenic indices were marginally associated with TDAS scores in men, even after adjustment for several possible confounding parameters. However, muscle mass decline in the bottom 10 and 20% of subjects was not related to cognitive impairment. However, in women, muscle mass decline in the bottom 10% of subjects was significantly related to cognitive impairment, even after adjustment for baPWV and WMHs. Although the precise explanations for the difference between men and women observed in the present study have not yet been elucidated, the arbitrary definition of muscle mass decline (bottom 10 and 20%) might account for this observation. In multiple regression analyses, baPWV was independently associated with TDAS score in women, while WMHs, but not baPWV, was a significant determinant of TDAS score in men (Table S1). These findings indicate that the underlying pathology relating to cognitive decline may differ between men and women in the present population. A negative association between sarcopenia and cognitive impairment was also reported in a large British male population.

Sarcopenia can be prevented or slowed using multidisciplinary approaches, including physical exercise and nutritional improvement. The usefulness of exercise intervention for slowing cognitive decline has been extensively demonstrated in the general population, as well as in dementia patients. These findings suggest that sarcopenia could be a useful target for intervention to prevent cognitive decline in the elderly population.

There are several limitations to the present study. Although we evaluated two indices of sarcopenia, we did not measure walking speed. Because slow walking speed is a component of sarcopenia and frailty, inclusion of walking speed could further strengthen the interpretation of the findings. The definition of sarcopenia was arbitrary, and accordingly, the absolute cut-off values could be different from those of other institutes. All of the participants were independent in daily life. Accordingly, the findings in the present study may not be applicable to dependent frail subjects. Further studies with subjects with different backgrounds and ethnicities are necessary to confirm our findings.

In summary, sarcopenic indices, thigh muscle CSA and skeletal muscle mass, are modestly but significantly related to arterial stiffness and the presence of WMHs, which could explain, at least in part, the association between frailty and cognitive impairment. Sarcopenia may be a useful target for intervention to prevent not only frailty but also cognitive impairment.
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